

Title	Some Alternative Theorems of Set-Valued Maps and their Applications(Discrete and Continuous Structures in Optimization)
Author(s)	黒岩, 大史
Citation	数理解析研究所講究録 (1996), 945: 1-6
Issue Date	1996-04
URL	<a href="http://hdl.handle.net/2433/60232">http://hdl.handle.net/2433/60232</a>
Right	
Type	Departmental Bulletin Paper
Textversion	publisher

# Some Alternative Theorems of Set-Valued Maps and their Applications

新潟大学大学院自然科学研究科 黒岩 大史 (DAISHI KUROIWA)

**Abstract.** We establish some theorems for a certain minimization problem whose constraints are presented by set-valued maps. For this, we prove two alternative theorems for set-valued maps. By using those theorems, we show some theorems for this minimization problem.

**Key Words.** Mathematical programming, set-valued analysis, convex analysis, convexity of set-valued maps, continuity of set-valued maps, alternative theorem.

## 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

我々は、集合値写像を用いて表される次の問題 (P) を考える：

$$(P) \quad \begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & f(x) \\ \text{subject to} & F(x) \cap (-P) \neq \emptyset \end{array}$$

ただし、 $X$ ：実ベクトル空間、 $C$ ： $X$  の空でない凸集合、 $Y$ ：実線形位相空間、 $P$ ： $Y$  の凸錐、 $f : C \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ 、 $F : C \rightsquigarrow Y$ .

この問題 (P) は、従来の不等式制約型の問題：

$$(P') \quad \begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & f(x) \\ \text{subject to} & g_i(x) \leq 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n \end{array}$$

(ただし、 $g_i : C \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) を含み、さらに、問題 (P') に定式化されないような問題も (P) においては扱うことができる。

本論文における目的は、問題 (P) について考察することである。具体的には、

- (1) (P) の双対問題 (D) を考える。
- (2) (P) と (D) の値が等しくなるような条件を求める。

などを考察するが、このときに非常に重要な役割を果たすのが、二者択一の定理 (alternative theorem) である。二者択一の定理の古典的な例としては、Gordan の定理、Farkas の定理などがあり、いずれも応用する上で、非常に有用な定理である。

そこで、二者択一の条件を定式化し、どのような条件の下で、二者択一の定理が成立するのかを観察していく。

まず、(P) の双対問題 (D) を次のように定義する。

$$(D) \quad \begin{array}{ll} \text{maximize} & \phi(y^*) \\ \text{subject to} & y^* \in P^+ \end{array}$$

ただし,  $\phi(y^*) \equiv \inf_{(x,y) \in \text{Graph}(F)} \{f(x) + \langle y^*, y \rangle\}$ ,  $P^+ \equiv \{y^* \in Y^* | \langle y^*, y \rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in P\}$ .

このとき, 次が成立する.

**Proposition 1.1. (Weak Duality)**

$$\text{val}(D) \leq \text{val}(P).$$

この等号を成立させる条件の一つが, 関数の凸性である. 従って, 次の章においては, 集合値関数の凸性を定義する.

## 2. Convexity of Set-Valued Maps and their Relations

この章では, 集合値写像の凸性をいくつか定義し, それらの間にある関係について述べていく. 集合値写像の凸性は, ベクトル値関数の凸性を基にして定義する. その拡張の方法は, いくつかの方法がある. [4]

**Definition 2.1.** A set-valued map  $F : C \rightsquigarrow Y$  is said to be

- (i) *convex* if for every  $x_1, x_2 \in C$ ,  $y_1 \in F(x_1)$ ,  $y_2 \in F(x_2)$ , and  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ , there exists  $y \in F(\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2)$  such that  $y \leq_P \lambda y_1 + (1 - \lambda)y_2$ ;
- (ii) *convexlike* if for every  $x_1, x_2 \in C$ ,  $y_1 \in F(x_1)$ ,  $y_2 \in F(x_2)$ , and  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ , there exists  $(x, y) \in \text{Graph}(F)$  such that  $y \leq_P \lambda y_1 + (1 - \lambda)y_2$ ;
- (iii) *properly quasiconvex* if for every  $x_1, x_2 \in C$ ,  $y_1 \in F(x_1)$ ,  $y_2 \in F(x_2)$ , and  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ , there exists  $y \in F(\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2)$  such that either  $y \leq_P y_1$  or  $y \leq_P y_2$ ;
- (iv) *quasiconvex* if for every  $x_1, x_2 \in C$ ,  $y_1 \in F(x_1)$ ,  $y_2 \in F(x_2)$ , and  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ , if  $y \in Y$  satisfies  $y_1 \leq_P y$  and  $y_2 \leq_P y$ , then there exists  $y' \in F(\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2)$  such that  $y' \leq_P y$ ;
- (v) *naturally quasiconvex* (c.f. [7]) if for every  $x_1, x_2 \in C$ ,  $y_1 \in F(x_1)$ ,  $y_2 \in F(x_2)$ , and  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ , there exists  $y \in F(\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2)$  and  $\eta \in [0, 1]$  such that  $y \leq_P \eta y_1 + (1 - \eta)y_2$ ;
- (vi) *\*-quasiconvex* (c.f. [3]) if for each  $y^* \in P^+$ , function  $x \mapsto \inf_{y \in F(x)} \langle y^*, y \rangle$  is quasiconvex on  $C$ .

ただし,  $y_1 \leq_P y_2 \iff y_2 - y_1 \in P$ .

これらの集合値写像の凸性に関して, 次が成立する. [4]

**Proposition 2.1.** The following statements hold:

- (i)  $F$  is convex if and only if  $\text{Graph}(F) + \{\theta_X\} \times P$  is a convex set;
  - (ii)  $F$  is convexlike if and only if  $F(C) + P$  is a convex set;
  - (iii)  $F$  is quasiconvex if and only if for all  $y \in Y$ , the set  $F^{-1}(y - P)$  is a convex set.
- ただし,  $F^{-1}(M) \equiv \{x \in C | F(x) \cap M \neq \emptyset\}$ ;  $F^{+1}(M) \equiv \{x \in C | F(x) \subset M\}$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** *The following statements hold:*

- (i) every convex map is also convexlike;
- (ii) every convex map is also naturally quasiconvex;
- (iii) properly quasiconvex map is also naturally quasiconvex;
- (iv) naturally quasiconvex map is also quasiconvex;
- (v) naturally quasiconvex map is also  $*$ -quasiconvex.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Assume that  $Y$  is a locally convex space and  $F(x) + P$  is closed convex for all  $x \in C$ . If  $F$  is  $*$ -quasiconvex, then  $F$  is also naturally quasiconvex.*

**Theorem 2.2.** *If We assume that  $P$  is closed and  $F$  is upper semicontinuous and convex valued. If  $F$  is naturally quasiconvex then it is convexlike.*

### 3. Alternative Theorems for Some Set-Valued Maps

この章では, 2つの二者択一の定理を示す. これらの定理は, 最適化問題を解く上で, 非常に重要であり, この論文の主定理の主な道具である. まず, 最初の二者択一の定理で使われる条件を述べる.

- (A1)  $Q \neq \emptyset$ ;
- (A2)  $Q$  is open;
- (A3)  $F$  is convexlike,

where  $Q \equiv \{y \in Y | \langle y^*, y \rangle > 0, \forall y^* \in P^+ \setminus \{\theta_{Y^*}\}\}$ .

**Remark 3.1.** *It is easy to show that  $\text{int}P \subset Q$ , and if  $\text{int}P \neq \emptyset$ ,  $\text{int}P = Q$ . Also, assumption (A2) is fulfilled when the function  $(y^*, y) \mapsto \langle y^*, y \rangle$  is continuous in  $\sigma(Y^*, Y) \times \mathcal{O}_Y$ , where  $\mathcal{O}_Y$  is the topology of  $Y$ . We recall that this continuity is satisfied if  $Y$  is a normed space.*

このとき, 次の定理を得る.

**Theorem 3.1.** Under the assumptions (A1), (A2), and (A3), exactly one of the following statements (i) and (ii) is true :

- (i) there exists  $x_0 \in C$  such that  $F(x_0) \cap (-Q) \neq \emptyset$ ;
- (ii) there exists  $y_0^* \in P^+ \setminus \{\theta_{Y^*}\}$  such that for any  $(x, y) \in \text{Graph}(F)$ ,  $\langle y^*, y \rangle \geq 0$ .

**Remark 3.2.** If  $F$  is a vector-valued map and  $\text{int}P \neq \emptyset$ , then Theorem 3.1 becomes Lemma 2.1 of [2].

次に、2つめの二者択一の定理を述べる。そこで使われる条件を述べるために、まず、集合値写像のある連続性を定義する。

**Definition 3.1.** A set-valued map  $F : C \rightsquigarrow Y$  is said to be *\*-lower semicontinuous* (\*-l.s.c.) at  $x \in C$  if for any  $y^* \in P^+$ , the function  $z \mapsto \inf_{y \in F(z)} \langle y^*, y \rangle$  is lower semicontinuous at  $x$ .  $F$  is said to be *\*-lower semicontinuous* if and only if it is \*-lower semicontinuous at every point of  $C$ .

**Remark 3.3.** Every upper-semicontinuous set-valued map is also \*-lower semicontinuous.

- (B1)  $X$  is a topological vector space;
- (B2)  $Y$  is a locally convex space;
- (B3)  $P^+$  has a  $w^*$ -compact convex base  $D$ ;
- (B4)  $F$  is \*-quasiconvex on  $C$ ;
- (B5)  $F$  is \*-lower semicontinuous on  $C$ .

**Remark 3.4.** In (B3),  $P^+$  has a  $w^*$ -compact convex base  $D$ , means that there exists a  $w^*$ -compact convex subset  $D$  of  $Y^*$  such that  $\theta_{Y^*} \notin D$  and  $P^+ = \bigcup_{\lambda \geq 0} \lambda D$ . Assumption (B3) is satisfied when  $\text{int}P \neq \emptyset$ , see [3].

このとき、次の定理を得る。

**Theorem 3.2.** Under the assumptions (B1), (B2), (B3), (B4), and (B5), exactly one of the following statements (i) and (ii) is true:

- (i) there exists  $x_0 \in C$  such that for any  $y^* \in P^+ \setminus \{\theta_{Y^*}\}$ ,  $\inf_{y \in F(x_0)} \langle y^*, y \rangle < 0$ ;
- (ii) there exists  $y_0^* \in P^+ \setminus \{\theta_{Y^*}\}$  such that for any  $x \in C$ ,  $\inf_{y \in F(x)} \langle y_0^*, y \rangle \geq 0$ .

**Remark 3.5.** If  $F$  is a vector-valued map, then Theorem 3.2 becomes Theorem 2.1 of [3].

#### 4. Applications to Optimization Problem

この章では、最初に与えた問題 (P) に対して、前章における Theorem 3.1, Theorem 3.2 を適用して、その双対問題 (D) との関連を調べていく。まず、1 章で述べた Weak Duality を証明する。

**Proof of Proposition 1.1.** For each  $y^* \in P^+$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{val}(P) &= \inf_{x \in F^{-1}(-P)} f(x) \\ &\geq \inf_{x \in F^{-1}(-P)} \{f(x) + \langle y^*, y \rangle\} \quad (\forall y \in F(x) \cap (-P)) \\ &\geq \inf_{(x,y) \in \text{Graph}(F)} \{f(x) + \langle y^*, y \rangle\} \\ &= \phi(y^*). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\text{val}(P) \geq \sup_{y^* \in P^+} \{\phi(y^*)\} = \text{val}(D).$$

This completes the proof. □

次に、主問題 (P) の値が、その双対問題 (D) の値に一致するための条件について考察していく。まず、問題 (P) に対して、拡張された Slater condition を定義する。

(AS)  $F^{-1}(-Q) \neq \emptyset$ ;

(BS) there exists  $x_0 \in C$  such that for any  $y^* \in P^+ \setminus \{\theta_{Y^*}\}$ ,  $\inf_{y \in F(x_0)} \langle y^*, y \rangle < 0$ .

**Remark 4.1.** If  $F$  is a vector-valued map, then condition (BS) becomes the generalized Slater condition in [3]. Moreover  $\text{int}P \neq \emptyset$ , then condition (AS) becomes the Slater condition in [2].

条件 (AS) と (BS) の間には、次のような関係がある。

**Proposition 4.1.** For each problem (P),

- (i) if (AS) is satisfied, then (BS) is also satisfied;
- (ii) if (BS) is satisfied and for each  $x \in C$ ,  $F(x) + P$  is closed convex, then (AS) is also satisfied;
- (iii) if conditions (BS), (A1), (A2), and (A3) are satisfied, then (AS) is also satisfied;

また、次のように条件 (A3'), (B4'), (B5'), を置き直す。

(A3')  $(f, F)$  is convexlike;

(B4')  $(f, F)$  is  $*$ -quasiconvex on  $C$ ;

(B5')  $(f, F)$  is  $*$ -lower semicontinuous on  $C$ ,

where  $(f, F)$  is the set-valued map from  $C$  to  $\mathbf{R} \times Y$  defined by  $(f, F)(x) \equiv (\{f(x)\}, F(x))$  for each  $x \in C$ . In this case, we consider  $\mathbf{R}_+ \times P$  as the convex cone in **(A3')**, and  $(\mathbf{R}_+ \times P)^+ = \mathbf{R}_+ \times P^+$  as the positive polar cone in **(B4')** and **(B5')**.

さらに、次の条件 **(B6)** を定義する。

**(B6)**  $F(x) + P$  is closed convex for any  $x \in C$ .

**Remark 4.2.** From Theorem 2.1, we have the following: under assumption **(B6)**, condition **(B4')** holds if and only if  $(f, F)$  is naturally quasiconvex on  $C$ .

このとき、Theorem 3.1, Theorem 3.2 より、次の主定理を得る。

**Theorem 4.1.** For problem (P), assume that one of the following assumptions:

- (i) **(AS)**, **(A1)**, **(A2)**, and **(A3')** are satisfied;
- (ii) **(BS)**, **(B1)**, **(B2)**, **(B3)**, **(B4')**, **(B5')**, and **(B6)** are satisfied.

Then  $\text{val}(P) = \text{val}(D)$ , and there exists  $y_0^* \in P^+$  such that  $\phi(y_0^*) = \text{val}(D)$ . Moreover, if there exists  $x_0 \in C$  such that  $\text{val}(P) = f(x_0)$  and  $x_0 \in F^{-1}(-P)$ , then  $\langle y_0^*, y \rangle = 0$  for all  $y \in F(x_0) \cap (-P)$ .

## References

- [1] J.P. AUBIN AND H. FRANKOWSKA, *Set-Valued Analysis*, Birkhäuser Boston, 1990.
- [2] M. HAYASHI AND H. KOMIYA, "Perfect Duality for Convexlike Programs," *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **38**, No.2, (1982), pp.179–189.
- [3] V. JEYAKUMAR, W. OETTLI AND M. NATIVIDAD, "A Solvability Theorem for a Class of Quasiconvex Mappings with Applications to Optimization," *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **179**, (1993), pp.537–546.
- [4] D. KUROIWA, "Convexity for Set-valued Maps," to appear in *Appl. Math. Letters*.
- [5] R. T. ROCKAFELLAR, "Extension of Fenchel's Duality Theorem for Convex Functions," *Duke Math. J.* **33** (1966), 81–89.
- [6] M. SION, "Generalized Quasiconvexities, Cone Saddle Points, and Minimax Theorem for Vector-Valued Functions," *Pacific J. Math.*, **8**, (1958), pp.171–176.
- [7] T. TANAKA, "Generalized Quasiconvexities, Cone Saddle Points, and Minimax Theorem for Vector-Valued Functions," *J. Optim. Theo. Appl.*, **81**, No.2, (1994), pp.355–377.